NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL AHMEDABAD BENCH AHMEDABAD

CP(CAA) No. 5/ 230-232/NCLT/AHM/2017 c.w.CA 515/2017

Coram:

Present: Hon'ble Mr. BIKKI RAVEENDRA BABU MEMBER JUDICIAL

ATTENDANCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF AHMEDABAD BENCH OF THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL ON 08.02.2017

Name of the Company:

Sintex BAPL Ltd.

Section of the Companies Act:

Section 230-232 of the Companies Act, 2013

S.NO. NAME (CAPITAL LETTERS)

DESIGNATION

REPRESENTATION

SIGNATURE

1. Sandeep Singhi Advocate
2. Perangal Buch "

ORDER

Learned Advocate Mr. Sandeep Singhi with Learned Advocate Mr. Pranjal Buch i/b Singhi & Co. present for the Petitioner.

This petition is filed by Sintex-BAPL Ltd. under section 230 to 232 of the Companies Act, 2013 for approval of the proposed scheme of demerger of Custom Moulding Business borng carried out by Sintex Industries and merging it to Sintex BAPL and demerging Prefab business being carried out by Sintex industries and merging it with Sintex Infra Projects Ltd/:

Sintex Plastics technology is a wholly owned subsidiary of Sintex Industries Ltd. Sintex Plastics Technology is the holding company of Sintex-BAPL Ltd. and Sintex Infra Projects Ltd respectively.

Petitioner company filed CA 515/2016 under section 391 to 394 of the Companies Act, 1956 before the Hon'ble High Court of Gujarat.

The Hon'ble High Court of Gujarat by its order dated 08.12.2016 made in CA 515/2016 dispensed with meetings of equity shareholders and sole preference shareholder of the Petitioner company as well as meetings of secured creditors and unsecured creditors. The Hon'ble High Court also dispensed with advertisement to be given in the newspapers and publication in the Gujarat Government Gazette.

In view of the notification no. S.O 3677(E) dated 07.12.2016 issued by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs section 230 except sub sections (11) and (12) and section 231 to 233 came into force with effect from 15.12,2016

TRUE COPY

NCLT, Ahmedabad

NCLT Ahmedahad Bench Ahmedabad

It is stated by the Learned Counsel for the petitioner that notices in pursuance of Subsection (5) of Section 230 have already been sent to the Registrar of Companies, Regional Director, Official Liquidator, Income Tax Authorities and Reserve Bank of India, on 16.12.2016.

It is also stated that the representations of the Official Liquidator, Regional Director and Income Tax Department have been filed in this Tribunal and no other representation has been placed before me by the Registry in respect of this petition.

It is contended by the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner that it is a case of demerger and not a case of merger or amalgamation and therefore section 233 is not applicable. He further submitted that Sintex Industries Ltd., Sintex Plastic Technology Ltd., Sintex-BAPL Ltd. and Sintex Infra Projects Ltd. remain in existence even after the implementation of the scheme. He contended that in view of section 233(8), the scheme under sub-section (3) or sub-section (7) shall be deemed to have the effect of dissolution of the transferor company without the process of winding up and such course is not at all contemplated in the scheme contemplated and approved by the companies in this petition, and therefore section 233 is not applicable.

He contended that in view of section 233(14) also, the company has got an option to use the provisions of section 232 for approval of any scheme for merger or amalgamation. Moreover, the Hon'ble High Court disposed of the Company Application before the coming into force of sections 230-232 of the Companies Act, 2013 and in that view of the matter also, Petitioner cannot be asked to approach the Central Government under section 233 of the Companies Act, 2013.

In view of the above said submissions made by the Learned Counsel for petitioner, the petition is admitted.

The hearing on the petition seeking sanction of the scheme of arrangement between the petitioner company and Sintex Industries Ltd., Sintex Plastics Technology Ltd. and Sintex Infra Projects Ltd. shall take place before this Tribunal on 17.03.2017.

In the proceedings in CA 515 of 2016 before Hon'ble High Court of Gujarat, no notice was given to public and no notice was given to the regulatory authorities since section 391 of Expansies Act, 1956 did not obligate such notice at the first stage and as the meetings of the dispensed with.

This petition, petitioner company even without an order from the Hon'ble High Court or from this Tribunal sent notices to the statutory authorities under section 230(5) of the Act. It appears that only three authorities stated above sent their representations. This Tribunal thought it fit to inform the date of hearing to those three authorities. In respect of the other authorities who have not responded so far, this Tribunal thought it fit to direct the petitioner company to comply with section 230(5), since the notices issued by the company under section 230(5) are not in compliance with the orders of the adjudicating authorities under the Act.

In view of the said facts it is ordered that: -

- Notice of hearing of this petition shall be advertised in Indian Express English daily Ahmedabad edition and Sandesh Gujarat daily Ahmedabad edition, not less than ten days before the date fixed for hearing calling for their objections if any on or before the date of hearing.
- Notice to following Statutory authorities accompanied with the copy of the scheme
 of arrangement, copy of petition and its annexures along with copy of this order
 intimating the date of hearing to –

A) Central Government through Regional Director, Gujarat

TRUE COPY

Court Officer NCLT, Ahmedahad NCLT Ahmedahad Benc Ahmedahad

- B) Income Tax Authority
- C) Official Liquidator
- 3. Notice to the following statutory authorities in form no. CAA 3 accompanied with the scheme of arrangement, copy of petition with its annexures, along with a copy of this order to -
 - A) Registrar of Companies, Gujarat
 - B) Reserve Bank of India

stating that if they desire to make any representations the same shall be sent to this Tribunal within 30 days from the date of receipt of notice and in case no representation is received by this Tribunal with in a period of 30 days, it shall be presumed that the above said authorities have no representation to make on the proposed scheme of arrangement.

List the matter on 17.03.2017 for hearing.

BIKKI RAVEENDRA BABU MEMBER JUDICIAL

Dated this the 8th day of February, 2017.

ARIBUNAL

TRUE COPY

Court Officer NCLT, Ahmedabad

> Asstt. Registrar NCLT Ahmedahad Bench Ahmedahad